



---

*Welfare Implications of the*  
***Dehorning and Disbudding of***  
***Cattle***

(April 20, 2012)

---

### **HORN ANATOMY AND GROWTH IN CATTLE**

Horns are special adaptations of the integument (skin). The corium (the area of cells located at the junction of the horn and skin) is the site of horn production. If the horn but not the corium is removed, horns will resume growing.<sup>1</sup> Horns begin as buds within the skin of the poll. At approximately 2 months of age, the horn buds become attached to the periosteum of the frontal bone overlying the frontal sinus.<sup>2</sup> As the horns grow, the cornual diverticulum of the caudal portion of the frontal sinus extends into the most proximal portion of the horn.<sup>2,3</sup>

The cornual nerve, a branch of the Trigeminal nerve (cranial nerve V), provides sensation to the skin of the horn/horn bud region. Injection of a local anesthetic around the cornual nerve as it traverses the frontal crest desensitizes the area.<sup>1,4</sup>

### **DISBUDDING**

Disbudding involves destroying the horn-producing cells (corium) of the horn bud.<sup>5</sup> Horn buds are removed without opening the frontal sinus. Chemical and hot-iron disbudding methods destroy the horn-producing cells, whereas physical methods of disbudding excise them.<sup>5</sup>

Several methods for disbudding cattle exist, but each method has its advantages and disadvantages. Hot-iron disbudding is commonly performed and is reliable, but is considered to be quite painful.<sup>6</sup> Electrical and butane hot-iron disbudding devices are available. Excessive heat applied during hot-iron disbudding can damage underlying bone.<sup>7</sup> Disbudding via cautery may create less distress than physical dehorning using a scoop because nociceptors are destroyed by heat and pain perception is consequently reduced.<sup>8</sup> Caustic materials (e.g., sodium hydroxide, calcium hydroxide) applied to the horn bud can damage surrounding skin and/or the eyes if runoff occurs; as long as the active chemical is in contact with tissue, damage continues.<sup>5</sup> Injection of calcium chloride under the horn bud results in necrosis of the horn bud, but its administration without prior sedation and/or local anesthesia is not recommended due to the level of discomfort induced by the procedure.<sup>9</sup> Cryosurgical techniques are less reliable than hot-iron disbudding, require additional procedural time, and induce behavioral indicators of pain and distress.<sup>6</sup>

Horn buds can be physically removed, using knives, shears, or dehorning spoons, cups, or tubes. To remove the corium and prevent horn regrowth, a complete ring of hair surrounding the horn bud should also be removed.<sup>2</sup>

### **DEHORNING**

Dehorning is removal of the horns after they have formed from the horn bud. Physical methods of dehorning (gouge dehorning) include the use of embryotomy wire, guillotine shears, or dehorning knives, saws, spoons, cups, tubes, or high tension rubber bands. The Barnes-type scoop dehorner is

commonly used for physical dehorning. When cattle have large horns they are sometimes “tipped”, a procedure that removes the sharp end of the horn but leaves the base.

The presence of the cornual diverticulum of the frontal sinus causes surgical dehorning of adult cattle to be more invasive.<sup>2,3</sup> Dehorning of adult cattle is associated with increased risks of sinusitis, bleeding, prolonged wound healing, and infection.<sup>2,3,7</sup>

### **DISBUDDING AND DEHORNING IN THE UNITED STATES AND OTHER COUNTRIES**

Disbudding and dehorning of cattle in the United States is not currently regulated. The Canadian Veterinary Medical Association recommends that disbudding be performed within the first week of life.<sup>10</sup> In the United Kingdom, disbudding with a hot iron is preferred to dehorning and it is advised that this should be performed before cattle reach the age of 2 months.<sup>11</sup> Application of caustic paste is acceptable in cattle up to 7 days old,<sup>12</sup> but anesthesia is required if cattle are dehorned after this period.<sup>12,13</sup> Australian and New Zealand authorities recommend disbudding at the youngest age possible, and chemical dehorning is not deemed to be acceptable unless it is performed within the first few days after birth.<sup>14-17</sup> In Australia, dehorning without local anesthesia or analgesia is restricted to animals less than 6 months old.<sup>2,12</sup> The New Zealand Code of Welfare for Painful Husbandry Procedures mandates a 9 month age limit for dehorning without attention to pain relief.<sup>17</sup> The 1992 Animal Rights Law in Sweden requires that dehorning via cautery be performed under anesthesia/sedation.<sup>6,12</sup> In Denmark, calves up to 4 weeks old can be dehorned without application of a local anesthetic.<sup>12</sup>

A survey of dairy farms in the northeastern provinces of Italy regarding dehorning methods has shown the practice of dehorning is carried out in 80% of the dairies surveyed.<sup>18</sup> In the surveyed group, hot-iron disbudding was the method most commonly used (~91%) with the remaining dairies using caustic paste.<sup>18</sup> Of the farmers surveyed, 10% utilized local anesthetics as part of their dehorning protocol and only 5% administered an analgesic.<sup>18</sup> When questioned about post-procedure pain nearly half of the farmers perceived that pain in calves lasted more than a few minutes.<sup>18</sup>

### **BENEFITS OF DISBUDDING AND DEHORNING**

Dehorning cattle conveys advantages. Horns are the single major cause of carcass wastage due to bruising,<sup>16</sup> and trim associated with bruising for carcasses from horned cattle is approximately twice that for carcasses from hornless cattle.<sup>12</sup> Dehorned cattle require less feeding trough space; are easier and less dangerous to handle and transport; present a lower risk of interference from dominant animals at feeding time; pose a reduced risk of injury to udders, flanks, and eyes of other cattle; present a lower injury risk for handlers, horses, and dogs; exhibit fewer aggressive behaviors associated with individual dominance; and may incur fewer financial penalties on sale.<sup>1,2,8,13,17,19-21</sup>

### **WELFARE CONCERNS—SCIENCE, RISKS, AND SEVERITY**

Physiologic and behavioral indicators have been used to assess acute distress responses to potentially painful husbandry procedures. Tissue damage (e.g., from disbudding and dehorning) results in activation and release of intracellular contents from damaged cells, inflammatory cells, and nerve fibers.<sup>22</sup>

Physiologic, neuroendocrine, and behavioral changes indicative of pain and distress are observed following dehorning.<sup>5,23</sup>

***Physiologic indicators***—Although responses vary slightly according to dehorning method, plasma cortisol concentrations increase rapidly 30 to 60 minutes after dehorning, decline slightly, plateau level for 3 to 4 hours, and then return to baseline values approximately 6 to 8 hours after the procedure.<sup>24-30</sup> Assessment of the catecholamine (fight or flight) response allows evaluation of the acute responses to painful procedures, but this response is short-lived and relevant only to the earliest phases of the distress

This information has been prepared as a service by the American Veterinary Medical Association's Animal Welfare Division. Mention of trade names, products, commercial practices or organizations does not imply endorsement by the American Veterinary Medical Association.  
© 2010 American Veterinary Medical Association

response.<sup>26</sup> Adrenaline (epinephrine) concentration was increased 5 minutes after scoop dehorning of 10-week-old calves; was not affected by use of local anesthesia, and returned to baseline within 10 minutes.<sup>26</sup> Noradrenaline (norepinephrine) concentrations may also rise due to tissue release of noradrenaline in response to injury; increased noradrenaline concentrations were observed 10 minutes after dehorning, but had returned to baseline levels within 60 minutes.<sup>26</sup> Eye temperature drops either during dehorning or after local anesthesia wore off due to sympathetic activity leading to vasoconstriction and redirection of the blood.<sup>27,28</sup>

Although dehorning using a scoop resulted in slightly higher cortisol concentrations than dehorning via saw, guillotine shear, or embryotomy wire, there was little difference in distress displayed by 5- to 6-month-old calves in response to these methods.<sup>27</sup> Decreasing the depth to which the scoop was applied during dehorning did not reduce the magnitude of the plasma cortisol response in 14- to 16-week-old calves.<sup>24</sup> On this basis, the investigators concluded this approach was not effective in reducing associated pain and distress. Another study has shown that cortisol concentrations in the blood were higher in scoop-disbudded calves than in hot-iron or caustic paste disbudded calves up to 6 hours post-disbudding.<sup>31</sup> This study also noted that cortisol concentrations in the blood were significantly higher in caustic paste disbudded calves than hot-iron disbudded (without anesthetic) calves at 1 hour post-disbudding, possibly indicating more intense pain at that time point.<sup>31</sup> It is important to note that the ages of these groups of calves differ as the various techniques have different age windows for utilization.

**Behavioral Indicators**—Avoidance behaviors observed during dehorning include tail wagging, head movement, tripping, and rearing.<sup>13</sup> Postoperative indicators of pain include head rubbing, head shaking, neck extension, ear flicking, tail flicking, increased numbers of transitions between lying and rising and reduced rumination.<sup>13,31,32</sup> One study has shown that hot-iron disbudded calves that have not been given any anesthetic show more struggling during disbudding and more postoperative indicators of pain after disbudding than scoop and caustic paste disbudded calves.<sup>31</sup> Scoop disbudding is thought to be equally painful during the procedure however it is very quick so the animal does not have to struggle to get away from the source of pain.<sup>31</sup> Scoop disbudding is comparable to caustic paste and hot-iron disbudding (without anesthetic) with regards to postoperative indicators of pain at 1 and 3 hours post-disbudding.<sup>31</sup> Post-disbudding caustic paste has been shown to produce more transitions between lying and rising than hot-iron disbudded (without anesthetic) calves.<sup>31</sup> At 6 hours post-disbudding scoop disbudded calves show more postoperative pain behaviors than either caustic paste or hot-iron (without anesthetic) disbudded calves.<sup>31</sup> The use of high tension elastic rubber bands has been shown to cause significant changes in attitude, gait and posture, and increased time spent lying down when compared to mechanically dehorned or tipped cattle.<sup>33</sup> Banded cattle also have decreased appetites and poorer wound healing following the dehorning procedure than cattle that have been mechanically dehorned or tipped.<sup>33</sup>

**Disease**—Tetanus has been reported as affecting cattle after dehorning,<sup>34</sup> and prophylaxis is recommended. Bovine cutaneous papillomas have also developed after dehorning of 3- to 4-month-old calves due to physical transmission of virus particles via equipment.<sup>35</sup>

Physical dehorning has been associated with an increased risk of transmission of the bovine leukosis virus (BLV). Lassauzet et al<sup>36</sup> observed that risk of BLV infection increased from 8% to 77% when cattle were gouge dehorned. Gouge dehorning of 6- to 12-month-old heifers resulted in transmission of BLV via physical transfer of infected blood by the dehorning device; after changing to electrical dehorning of cattle at 8 weeks of age, prevalence of BLV in the herd decreased from 67.7% to 40.3% in 3 years.<sup>37,38</sup>

**Production**—Although reduced body weight gain was observed during the first 6 weeks after physical dehorning of 4-, 7-, 19-, and 30-month-old calves, final mean body weights of all groups were not significantly different than those of control (naturally polled) calves.<sup>39</sup> No significant difference was observed in weight gain between polled animals, previously dehorned cattle, and recently dehorned stocker cattle.<sup>21</sup> Feed intake and growth rate were not significantly different in electrically dehorned versus control calves at 8 weeks of age.<sup>40</sup>

## REFINEMENTS

**Sedation**—Although sedation with xylazine and/or butorphanol reduced the occurrence of avoidance behaviors during disbudding/dehorning, sedation alone was not effective in reducing the cortisol response to hot-iron disbudding.<sup>41</sup>

**Cauterization** —Cauterization of the wound following scoop dehorning with a local anesthetic virtually abolished the cortisol response for 24 hours in 3- to 4-month-old calves.<sup>42</sup> In addition, blood loss was minimal and no complications were observed during wound healing. Cauterization after scoop dehorning of 5- to 6-month-old calves produced a transient rise in plasma cortisol concentration associated with the pain of the cautery procedure; however, when combined with local anesthesia, the cortisol response was virtually abolished throughout the 9-hour postoperative observation period.<sup>41</sup>

**Local anesthesia** —Many sources now recommend that local anesthesia be provided. Anesthesia reduces avoidance behaviors during the disbudding/dehorning procedure.<sup>12,20,44</sup> Investigation of the benefits of local anesthesia (in the form of a preoperative corneal nerve block with lidocaine or bupivacaine) has produced conflicting results. Local administration of lidocaine prior to electric dehorning of 7- to 10- and 14- to 16-week-old calves did not significantly reduce plasma cortisol levels, suggesting that the anesthetic did not reduce stress associated with dehorning.<sup>45</sup> McMeekan et al<sup>25</sup> observed that local anesthesia prevented an increase in plasma cortisol concentrations in 3- to 4-month-old calves undergoing dehorning only for the duration of effect of the anesthetic; once the anesthetic wore off, a marked increase in plasma cortisol concentrations was observed. Similar results were observed in 6- to 8-week-old calves,<sup>8</sup> 10-week-old calves,<sup>26</sup> and 3- to 4-month-old calves.<sup>32</sup> Local anesthesia virtually abolished behavioral indicators of pain for the duration of its action; after the anesthetic wore off, however, calves displayed behavioral changes similar to those displayed by calves dehorned without local anesthesia.<sup>20</sup> Overall cortisol response was not significantly reduced, but a rise in plasma cortisol concentrations was delayed by administration of bupivacaine; preoperative administration of bupivacaine attenuated the increase in cortisol concentrations for 4 hours, but a marked rise in plasma cortisol concentration was observed once the effects of the bupivacaine wore off.<sup>35</sup> Administration of bupivacaine locally prior to scoop dehorning, followed by a second dose 4 hours later almost abolished the cortisol response for 8 hours.<sup>46</sup> Application of local anesthetic prior to disbudding/dehorning with caustic paste did not attenuate behavioral indicators of distress, possibly because the basic pH of the caustic paste negatively affected the action of the local anesthetic.<sup>5</sup> Disbudding using caustic paste in 10- to 35-day-old calves resulted in fewer behavioral changes than disbudding using a hot iron.<sup>5</sup> Recently, a preoperative corneal nerve block with 100% ethanol has been shown to provide superior analgesia when compared to a corneal nerve block with 2% lidocaine.<sup>47</sup>

**Analgesia**—Administration of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatories (NSAIDs) results in prolonged postoperative analgesia.<sup>22</sup> Oral administration of ketoprofen prior to and 7 hours after hot-iron dehorning of 4- to 8-week-old calves significantly reduced head shaking, ear flicking, and head rubbing for at least 24 hours.<sup>13</sup> In addition, the investigators observed a tendency toward greater weight gain on the first day after surgery compared with control calves.<sup>13</sup> Intramuscular administration of ketoprofen to 3- to 4-month-old calves prior to scoop dehorning slightly reduced the initial plasma cortisol peak, but

This information has been prepared as a service by the American Veterinary Medical Association's Animal Welfare Division. Mention of trade names, products, commercial practices or organizations does not imply endorsement by the American Veterinary Medical Association.  
© 2010 American Veterinary Medical Association

abolished the plateau phase.<sup>25</sup> Intramuscular administration of ketoprofen to calves 2 days to 2 weeks old produced a slight, transient reduction in cortisol concentration after disbudding with a butane dehorner.<sup>19</sup> The investigators speculated that ketoprofen may be more effective in older calves and calves disbudded using other devices. Sodium salicylate administered either alone or in conjunction with sedation (intramuscular xylazine, ketamine, butorphanol), also significantly reduced the cortisol response associated with simultaneous castration and dehorning.<sup>48</sup> In addition, sodium salicylate reduced the decrease in ADG from simultaneous castration and dehorning.<sup>48</sup>

The combination of a local anesthetic and ketoprofen administered prior to scoop dehorning of 3-to 4-month-old calves virtually abolished the rise in plasma cortisol concentration routinely observed after dehorning.<sup>25</sup> In studies using local anesthesia in conjunction with NSAIDs other than ketoprofen, Meloxicam was found to effectively alleviate pain following dehorning by cauterization,<sup>28,49,50</sup> but phenylbutazone<sup>31</sup> did not reduce the cortisol response to scoop dehorning.

**Availability and use of pharmaceuticals**—Although combined use of an anesthetic and analgesic appears to represent the most effective method for controlling pain associated with dehorning, regulatory access and cost remain obstacles to practical application. Ketoprofen is not currently FDA-approved for labeled use in livestock in the United States. The only approved NSAID is flunixin meglumine, which has not been demonstrated to have equivalent analgesic efficacy and is approved only for intravenous delivery for the treatment of respiratory disease, mastitis, or endotoxemia. The use of pharmaceuticals can burden producers in terms of both direct and indirect costs; the latter are associated with time delays and a potential need for more veterinary assistance. Extra-label use of anesthetics and analgesics, while potentially an option, is not ideal. Knowledge of effectiveness is not as great as it is for drugs approved for particular species and purposes. Extra-label use can also discourage research and development necessary to approve drugs for specific purposes.

## ALTERNATIVES

Selection and breeding of polled stock has been proposed as an alternative because it eliminates both animal pain and production expenses associated with dehorning. Polledness is a dominant autosomal trait.<sup>12,51,52</sup> that appears in all offspring of homozygous polled bulls. In the past it was believed the production characteristics of horned cattle were intrinsically superior to those of polled cattle. More recent reviews, however, acknowledge that polled individuals have existed in cattle populations throughout recorded history,<sup>53</sup> and that polled genes in *Bos taurus* have a simple inheritance and are apparently not linked to production performance or behavioral traits.<sup>54,55</sup> Polled beef bulls already demonstrate behavior,<sup>54</sup> growth,<sup>56</sup> carcass quality<sup>56</sup> and reproductive<sup>57</sup> performance<sup>51,52,58</sup> equivalent to their horned counterparts. Further work is required with dairy bulls where polled sires are rare in many breeds including Holstein (~1%).<sup>59</sup>

When polled bulls are in the minority rapid selection for other desired traits can usually best be achieved using horned bulls;<sup>58,60,61</sup> as a result, polledness continues to be suppressed in the population. Transgenic approaches have been suggested as a means to rapidly insert polled genetics into high-performing reproductive lines.<sup>62,63</sup> However, polledness in other countries and in existing polled herds has generally been introduced gradually through selective breeding to achieve balanced progress, using a range of selection criteria.<sup>54,60,64,65</sup> Recent recommendations for the breeding of Holsteins—such as from the Holstein Association USA’s ‘Breed of the Future’ panel, 2005—have supported broadening the basis for genetic selection, suggesting that: *“Increasingly, the emphasis should be on selecting for reduced expenses and improved margin, not just maximum production.”*<sup>66</sup> Widespread introduction of polled genetics will require active involvement and cooperation of producers,<sup>65</sup> artificial insemination suppliers,<sup>65</sup> researchers,<sup>60</sup> and breed associations.<sup>65</sup>

This information has been prepared as a service by the American Veterinary Medical Association’s Animal Welfare Division. Mention of trade names, products, commercial practices or organizations does not imply endorsement by the American Veterinary Medical Association.

© 2010 American Veterinary Medical Association

## SUMMARY

Minimizing pain associated with disbudding and dehorning is important to limiting the pain-stress-distress cascade that creates altered behavioral and physiologic states.<sup>22</sup> Pre-emptive analgesia can be accomplished with sedation, general anesthesia, local anesthesia, and pre- and postoperative administration of NSAIDs.<sup>22</sup> Including polledness in selection indexes and long term breeding strategies has the potential to reduce and eventually eliminate the need to dehorn.

## REFERENCES

1. Hoffsis G. Surgical (cosmetic) dehorning in cattle. *Vet Clin Food Anim* 1995;11:159-169.
2. La Fontaine D. Dehorning and castration of calves under six months of age. Available at: [http://transact.nt.gov.au/ebiz/dbird/TechPublications.nsf/C5AF1480C26CC23269256EFE004F648E/\\$file/804.pdf?OpenElement#search=%22Dehorning%20and%20castration%20of%20calves%20under%20six%20months%20of%20age%20%2B%20Agnote%22](http://transact.nt.gov.au/ebiz/dbird/TechPublications.nsf/C5AF1480C26CC23269256EFE004F648E/$file/804.pdf?OpenElement#search=%22Dehorning%20and%20castration%20of%20calves%20under%20six%20months%20of%20age%20%2B%20Agnote%22). Accessed March 24, 2006.
3. Ward JL, Rebhun WC. Chronic frontal sinusitis in dairy cattle: 12 cases (1978-1989). *J Amer Vet Med Assoc* 1992;201:326-328.
4. Godinho HP and Getty R. Bovine cranial nerves. In: Sisson and Grossman's the anatomy of the domestic animals. 5<sup>th</sup> ed. Philadelphia: WB Saunders Co, 1975;1084-1085.
5. Vickers KJ, Niel L, Kiehlbauch LM, et al. Calf response to caustic paste and hot-iron dehorning using sedation with and without local anesthetic. *J Dairy Sci* 2005;88:1545-1459.
6. Bengtsson B, Menzel A, Holtenius P, et al. Cryosurgical dehorning of calves: a preliminary study. *Vet Rec* 1996;138:234-237.
7. Kihurani DO, Mbiuki SM, Ngatia TA. Healing of dehorning wounds. *Br Vet J* 1989;145:580-585.
8. Petrie NJ, Mellor DJ, Stafford KJ, et al. Cortisol responses of calves to two methods of disbudding used with or without local anaesthetic. *NZ Vet J* 1996;44:9-14.
9. Koger LM. Dehorning by injection of calcium chloride. *Vet Med Small Anim Clin* 1976;71(6):824-825.
10. Canadian Veterinary Medical Association. Castration, tail docking, dehorning of farm animals. Available at: <http://canadianveterinarians.net/ShowText.aspx?ResourceID=48>. Accessed March 24, 2006.
11. Farm Animal Welfare Council (UK). Disbudding and dehorning. Available at: <http://www.fawc.org.uk/reports/dairycow/dcowr069.htm>. Accessed March 24, 2006.
12. Stafford KJ, Mellor DJ. Dehorning and disbudding stress and its alleviation in calves. *Vet J* 2005;169:337-349.
13. Faulkner PM, Weary DM. Reducing pain after dehorning in dairy calves. *J Dairy Sci* 2000;83:2037-2041.
14. Department of Primary Industries (Australia). Code of accepted farming practice for the welfare of cattle. Available at: <http://www.dpi.vic.gov.au/dpi/nreninf.nsf/childdocs/-89E7A8DAFEA417624A2568B30004C26A-B49A42716C4DB484CA256BC70081154C-7D429F62927388D64A256DEA0027A0BC-7837AEE962A7F6FDCA256C1700047D5E?open>. Accessed March 24, 2006.
15. Animal Welfare Authority (Australia). Code of practice for the welfare of animals—cattle. Available at: [http://www.environment.act.gov.au/\\_data/assets/pdf\\_file/13822/cattliewelfare-codeofpractice.pdf](http://www.environment.act.gov.au/_data/assets/pdf_file/13822/cattliewelfare-codeofpractice.pdf). Accessed March 24, 2006.
16. New South Wales Department of Primary Industries—Agriculture. Dehorning cattle. Available at: <http://www.agric.nsw.gov.au/reader/beefmanage/a024.htm>. Accessed March 24, 2006.
17. Animal Welfare (Painful Husbandry Procedures) Code of Welfare 2005; National Animal Welfare Advisory Committee, New Zealand. Available at: <http://www.biosecurity.govt.nz/files/animal-welfare/codes/painful-husbandry/painful-husbandry.pdf>. Accessed October 9, 2006.
18. Gottardo F, Nalon, E, Contiero B et al. The dehorning of dairy calves: practices and opinions of 639 farmers. *J Dairy Sci* 2011;94:5724-5734.
19. Milligan BN, Duffield T, Lissemore K. The utility of ketoprofen for alleviating pain following dehorning in young dairy calves. *Can Vet J* 2004;45:140-143.
20. Sylvester SP, Stafford KJ, Mellor DJ, et al. Behavioral responses of calves to amputation dehorning with and without local anaesthesia. *Aust Vet J* 2004;82:697-700.
21. Smith SC, Montague MR, Gill DR. 1996 Animal Science Research Report. Effect of castration, dehorning and body weight gain of newly received stocker cattle. Available at: <http://www.ansi.okstate.edu/research/1996rr/35.pdf>. Accessed March 24, 2006.
22. Anderson DE, Muir WW. Pain management in ruminants. *Vet Clin Food Anim* 2005;21:19-31.
23. Taschke AC, Fölsch DW. [Ethological, physiological and histological aspects of pain and stress in cattle when being dehorned] *Tierarztl Prax* 1997;25:19-27.

This information has been prepared as a service by the American Veterinary Medical Association's Animal Welfare Division. Mention of trade names, products, commercial practices or organizations does not imply endorsement by the American Veterinary Medical Association.

© 2010 American Veterinary Medical Association

24. McMeekan CM, Mellor DJ, Stafford KJ, et al. Effects of shallow scoop and deep scoop dehorning on plasma cortisol concentrations in calves. *NZ Vet J* 1997;45:72-74.
25. McMeekan CM, Stafford KJ, Mellor DJ, et al. Effects of regional analgesia and/or a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory analgesic on the acute cortisol response to dehorning in calves. *Res Vet Sci* 1998;64:147-150.
26. Mellor DJ, Stafford KJ, Todd SE, et al. A comparison of catecholamine and cortisol responses of young lambs and calves to painful husbandry procedures. *Aust Vet J* 2002;80:228-233.
27. Stewart M, Webster JR, Schaefer A et al. Infrared thermography and heart rate variability for non-invasive assessment of animal welfare. *ANZCCART Humane Science News* 2008;21:1-4.
28. Stewart M, Stookey JM, Stafford KJ et al. Effects of local anesthetic and a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug on pain responses of dairy calves to hot-iron dehorning. *J Dairy Sci* 2009;92(4):1512-1519.
29. Sylvester SP, Stafford KJ, Mellor DJ, et al. Acute cortisol responses of calves to four methods of dehorning by amputation. *Aust Vet J* 1998;76:123-126.
30. Wohlt JE, Allyn ME, Zajac PK, et al. Cortisol increases in plasma of Holstein heifer calves from handling and method of electrical dehorning. *J Dairy Sci* 1994;77:3725-3729.
31. Stillwell GG, Lima MS, Broom DM. Comparing the effect of three different disbudding methods on behavior and plasma cortisol of calves. *RPCV* 2001;102:281-288.
32. Sutherland MA, Mellor DJ, Stafford KJ, et al. Cortisol responses to dehorning of calves given a 5-h local anaesthetic regimen plus phenylbutazone, ketoprofen, or adrenocorticotropic hormone prior to dehorning. *Res Vet Sci* 2002;73:115-123.
33. Neely CD, Thomson DU Kerr CA et al. Comparison of three different dehorning techniques on pain, behavior, wound healing, and performance in feeder cattle. *AABP Proceedings*. 2011;44:140(Abstr.).
34. Karatzias H. [Tetanus in cattle caused by dehorning with rubber bands]. *Dtsch Tierarztl Wochenschr* 1981;88:382-383.
35. Pulley LT, Shively JN, Pawlicki JJ. An outbreak of bovine cutaneous fibropapillomas following dehorning. *Cornell Vet* 1974;64:427-434.
36. Lassauzet M-LG, Thurmond MC, Johnson WO, et al. Effect of brucellosis vaccination and dehorning on transmission of bovine leukemia virus in heifers on a California dairy. *Can J Vet Res* 1990;54:184-189.
37. DiGiacomo RF, Hopkins SG, Darlington RL, et al. Control of bovine leukosis virus in a dairy herd by a change in dehorning. *Can J Vet Res* 1987;51:542-544.
38. DiGiacomo RF, Darlington RL, Evermann JF. Natural transmission of bovine leukemia virus in dairy calves by dehorning. *Can J Comp Med* 1985;49:340-342.
39. Loxton ID, Toleman MA, Holmes AE. The effect of dehorning Brahman crossbred animals of four age groups on subsequent weight gain. *Aust Vet J* 1982;58:191-193.
40. Laden SA, Wohlt JE, Zajac PK, et al. Effects of stress from electrical dehorning on feed intake, growth, and blood constituents of Holstein heifer calves. *J Dairy Sci* 1985;68:3062-3066.
41. Grondahl-Nielsen C, Simonsen HB, Damkjær Lund J, et al. Behavioral, endocrine and cardiac responses in young calves undergoing dehorning without and with use of sedation and analgesia. *Vet J* 1999;158:14-20.
42. Sutherland MA, Mellor DJ, Stafford KJ, et al. Effect of local anaesthetic combined with wound cauterization on the cortisol response to dehorning in calves. *Aust Vet J* 2002;80:165-167.
43. Sylvester SP, Mellor DJ, Stafford KJ, et al. Acute cortisol responses of calves to scoop dehorning using local anaesthesia and/or cautery of the wound. *Aust Vet J* 1998;76:118-122.
44. Mellor DJ, Stafford KJ. Interpretation of cortisol responses in calf disbudding studies. *NZ Vet J* 1997;45:126-127.
45. Boandl KE, Wohlt JE, Carsia RV. Effects of handling, administration of a local anesthetic, and electrical dehorning on plasma cortisol in Holstein calves. *J Dairy Sci* 1989;72:2193-2197.
46. McMeekan CM, Mellor DJ, Stafford KJ, et al. Effects of local anesthesia of 4 to 8 hours' duration on the acute cortisol response to scoop dehorning in calves. *Aust Vet J* 1998;76:281-285.
47. Tapper KR, Goff JP, Leuschen BL et al. Novel techniques for anesthesia during disbudding of calves. *J Anim. Sci*. 2011;89(E-Suppl.):413(Abstr.).
48. Baldrige SL, Coetzee JF, Dritz SS et al. Pharmacokinetics and physiologic effects of intramuscularly administered xylazine hydrochloride-ketamine hydrochloride-butopprphanol tartrate alone or in combination with orally administered sodium salicylate on biomarkers of pain in Holstein calves following castration and dehorning. *AJVR*. 2011;72(10):1305-1317.
49. Heinrich A, Duffield T, Lissemore K et al. The impact of meloxicam on postsurgical stress associated with cautery dehorning. *J Dairy Sci* 2009;92:540-547.
50. Heinrich A, Duffield TF, Lissemore KD, Millman ST. The effect of meloxicam on behavior and pain sensitivity of dairy calves following cautery dehorning with a local anesthetic. *J Dairy Sci* 2010;93(6):2450-2457.
51. Stookey JM. University of Saskatoon Applied Ethology. Dehorning beef cattle via genetics is welfare friendly. Available at: <http://www.usask.ca/wcvm/herdmed/applied-ethology/articles/dehorn.html>. Accessed April 25, 2006.
52. Stookey JM. Farm Animal Council of Saskatchewan. Dehorning of cattle. Available at: [http://www.facs.sk.ca/pdf/facstracs/facstracs\\_fall\\_2000.pdf](http://www.facs.sk.ca/pdf/facstracs/facstracs_fall_2000.pdf). Accessed April 25, 2006.

53. Gilmore LO. Inherited non-lethal anatomical characters in cattle: a review. *J Dairy Sci* 1950;33:147-165.
54. Goonewardene LA, Price MA, Okine E, et al. Behavioral responses to handling and restraint in dehorned and polled cattle. *Appl Anim Behv Sci* 1999;64:159-167.
55. Menke C, Waiblinger S, Studnitz M, et al. Mutilations in organic animal husbandry: dilemmas involving animal welfare, humans and environmental protection. *Animal Health and Welfare in Organic Agriculture*, 2004 - CABI Publishing Wallingford, UK p. 171.
56. Goonewardene LA, Price MA, Liu RT. A study of growth and carcass traits in dehorned and polled composite bulls. *Can J Anim Sci* 1999;79:383-385.
57. Goonewardene LA, Pang H, Berg RT, et al. A comparison of reproductive and growth traits of horned and polled cattle in three synthetic beef lines. *Can J Anim Sci* 79:123-127
58. Watts JM. The welfare of cattle: review of recent literature. Available at: <http://www.prairieswine.usask.ca/pdf/welfare/cattle.pdf> Accessed July 10, 2005.
59. Bouie FA. The dehorning debate. *Farm Animal Welfare News* 2002;2:6.
60. Homepage for German Holstein Breeders. Interview with polled breeder Wendell Miller from Richlo Polled dairy. Available at: <http://holsteinzuechter.de/html/richlo.html> Accessed September 20, 2007.
61. Stookey JM. Is Intensive Dairy Production Compatible With Animal Welfare? Proceedings: Advances in Dairy Technology Vol. 6. Proceedings of the 1994 Western Dairy Canadian Dairy Seminar. pp 209-219.
62. Hoeschele I. Potential gain from insertion of major genes into dairy cattle. *J Dairy Sci* 1990;73:2601-2618.
63. Rollin E. *Farm Animal Welfare: Social, Bioethical, and Research Issues*. Ames: Iowa State Press. 1995. pp.65
64. Sattler J. Hickorymea farms: combining polled and red. *Holstein World* 2006; August:12-14.
65. Collie G. Breeding approach to dehorning. *Livestock Horizons* 2006;2:19.
66. Hoard's dairyman staff. Panel investigated Holstein strengths and needs. *Hoard's Dairyman* 2006;151:509.